



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,636	11/25/2003	William C. Plants	ACT-280COA	7317
28661	7590 07/15/2004		EXAMINER ·	
SIERRA PATENT GROUP, LTD. P O BOX 6149			CHANG, ERIC	
	, NV 89449		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2116	
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- X
	10/722,636	PLANTS ET AL.	עד
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Eric Chang	2116	ASS
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep I reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI atute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this comr NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	5 November 2003.		
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		rs, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	drawn from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
4	·		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003			er.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-	·152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		.,	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in App	olication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been re	eceived in this National Sta	age
application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26/2004. 		rmal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
. Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/722,636

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 1 is pending.

Drawings

2. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,111,448 to Shibayama in view of U.S. Patent 6,043,677 to Albu, et al.
- 5. As to claim 1, Shibayama discloses a delay lock loop comprising:
- [a] a reference delay line coupled to a reference clock [FIG. 7, element 10, and col. 7, lines 6-22];
- [b] a feedback delay line connected to a feedback clock [FIG. 7, element 7, and col. 6, lines 15-17];



Art Unit: 2116

[d] a phase detector connected to the divide-by-two circuit and the feedback delay line [FIG. 7, element 8, and col. 6, lines 17-20];

[e] a control circuit coupled to the phase detector [FIG. 7, element 9, and col. 6, lines 20-22];

[f] a programmable delay line connected to the reference clock and the control circuit [FIG. 7, element 6, and col. 6, lines 20-22];

[g] a clock adjuster connected to the programmable delay line [FIG. 13, element 18d, and col. 11, lines 34-47] – although Shibayama teaches a divider circuit as the clock adjuster to reduce the frequency of the distributed signal, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a doubler circuit to increase the frequency of the signal, substantially as claimed; and

[h] a clock tree coupled to the clock adjuster and outputting the feedback clock [FIG. 13, element 13, and col. 11, lines 26-33].

Shibayama teaches all of the limitations of the claim but does not teach that a divide-by-two circuit coupled to the reference delay line.

Albu teaches:

[c] a divider circuit coupled to the reference delay line [FIG. 2, element 122];

At the time that the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ a divider circuit as taught by Albu. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to manage clock delay and skew [col. 1, lines 12-15].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the cited references because they are both directed to the problem of implementing a delayed Application/Control Number: 10/722,636

Art Unit: 2116

Page 4

lock loop. Moreover, the programmable divider means taught by Albu would improve the flexibility of Shibayama because it allowed the divisor to be programmable, allowing for division by factors other than two [col. 1, lines 51-59]. Furthermore, Albu teaches that the delay lock loop circuit can also be implemented in and for use in FPGA architecture [col. 1, lines 42-59].

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Chang whose telephone number is (703) 305-4612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ec

June 13, 2004

A. ELAMIN BEST AVAILABLE COPY